

## Induction to Viable but Non Culturable State and Resuscitation of *Bacillus cereus* and *E. coli*

Karmakar, Sushmita and Roychoudhury, K.

**Received:** February 22, 2017 | **Accepted:** March 28, 2017 | **Online:** June 30, 2017

### Abstract

*E.coli* and *B. Cereus* were induced to Viable but Non Culturable State by starvation and temperature upshift to 50°C. The change in osmolarity by addition of more than 10% NaCl also resulted into induction of VBNC state of both *B.cereus* and *E.coli*. In the VBNC state the cultures lost their capacity to reduce nitrate *B.cereus* also lost the capacity to ferment maltose, fructose, hydrolysis of starch and citrate utilization. On the other hand for *E.coli* the capacity to ferment arabinose, sorbitol and sucrose was lost. While serum and egg yolk could not help the resuscitation of the cultures but favourable temperature between 35° C - 40°C and enrichment of media could help in resuscitation of these cultures. There were distinct differences in the VBNC state with respect to enzyme activity.

**Keywords:** *E. Coli* | *B. cereus* | Culture

#### For correspondence:

Department of Microbiology, S.K. Porwal College of Arts,  
Science and Commerce, Kamptee, District: Nagpur  
Email: [sush.k1111@gmail.com](mailto:sush.k1111@gmail.com),  
[roychoudhuryK@yahoo.com](mailto:roychoudhuryK@yahoo.com)

### Introduction

It is not necessary for all living systems to be in an environment which favours their survival. Various types of stress conditions may be experienced by microbes in different living conditions. The adaptability of the microbes to such stress conditions depends on various factors. A bacterial cell may enter into a starvation mode or enter into a physiological stress, wherein it is viable but not dead but multiplies by such a low rate that a visible colony is not formed. Such a state wherein the bacteria are viable but are not in a condition to grow well and hence non culturable can be defined as Viable but Non Culturable State or simply VBNC state. Conditions such as temperature, osmolarity, nutrient availability, pH and hydration are common factors leading to induction in the VBNC state.

Once a bacterial cell enters into VBNC state the question remains to be answered is that whether the state is a permanent state or the state can be reverted back to a culturable state. The change of non culturable bacteria back to culturable state is known as 'Resuscitation.' This has been observed in

many pathogens like *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Laam li *et al.*, 2014) *Legionella* *sps* (Steinert *et al.*, 1997; Al Bana *et al.*, 2013). *Vibrio* *sps*. (Baffone *et al.*, 2003; Sun *et al.*, 2008) When VBNC cells in the latent phase of a disease gets resuscitated the culturable cells then retain their virulence potential. A number of virulence and toxin genes are expressed even in the VBNC state.(Vora *et al.*, 2005).

Sinc many of the common pathogens including food pathogens are known to enter into the non culturable VBNC state and later resuscitate in the host body. It is important to have a detailed investigation of the various stress responses of the commonly known pathogens.

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Induction into VBNC state using Starvation and Nutrient Deprivation**

The isolates were subjected to nutrient deprivation and starvation by either prolonged incubation or by altering the media composition. This was found to be the most effective inducing factor for getting the VBNC state .the media composition was altered by gradually changing the contents from 100% to 10% For *e.g.*: The nutrient content of nutrient broth was reduced 13 gm / ltr to upto 0.5 gm per ltr (Lemke and Leff, 2006; Rehman *et al.*, 2001).

### **Induction into VBNC state using osmotic pressure**

Salt and sugar concentration in the medium were increased from 2% to 10% for generating severe osmotic shock. The isolates were grown in high osmotic conditions by incubating at 37°C till the VBNC state is achieved (Inglish and Savripanth, 2006).

### **Induction of VBNC state using elevated temp of incubation**

The isolated were grown at high temp of incubation upto 50°C fir getting VBNC states (Reissvrodth *et al.*, 2002)

### **Resucitation of the VBNC states of the Isolates**

Resuscitation of VBNC state was carried out by different methods . The media composition was altered and enriched with either egg yolk or serum. The VBNC organisms were grown in the enriched media and incubated at 37°C until resuscitation occurs. In some of the cases the resuscitation was done by temperature modulation or temperature downshift as done by many workers . (Mukamolova *et al.*, 1998 and Downing *et al.*, 2005; Reissbrodt *et al.*, 2002)

Comparative Morphological, Biochemical Characterization ,Carbohydrate fermentation and Enzyme Studies were done to compare the Normal state, VBNC and Resuscitated state.

## **Results and Discussion**

In case of Nutrient deprivation or starvation almost all the organisms entered into VBNC state when the nutrient supplied was reduced to around 60%. Similarly the temperature modulation showed a very distinct variation whereas the temperature upshift to about 50°C resulted in induction into VBNC state in *E. coli* VBNC state was detected at 4-6°C of incubation. It was noted that the difference in the total and viable counts was starkly different in case of nutrient deprivation in comparision to temperature upshift . Therefore it seems that starvation is better for induction into VBNC

state. When osmotic pressure was varied by the addition of salt and sugar it is observed that addition of sugar did not induce any of the cells into VBNC state. Both *Bacillus cereus*, and *E. coli*, tolerated the additional supplementation of sucrose. However, addition of sodium chloride at 10% resulted in induction into VBNC state. Lower than 10% of sodium chloride addition did not result in induction into VBNC state.

The resuscitated cultures showed morphology very similar to their corresponding normal state. Both *Bacillus cereus* and *E. coli* lost their capacity to reduce nitrate in their VBNC state and all these cultures upon resuscitation regain this property upon resuscitation. In case of *Bacillus cereus* loss of citrate utilization was observed. It can be seen from table 15 that *Bacillus cereus* loses the capacity to ferment maltose, fructose and does not show even hydrolysis of starch. In case of *E. coli* arabinose, sorbitol and sucrose are not fermented in the VBNC state as shown in table 16.

As can be seen from table 8 that none of the organisms could be resuscitated in 72 hrs by the addition of serum in the medium. The cfu/ml did not rise above 10/ml in any of the cultures. This indicates that serum does not act as a stimuli for resuscitation. Most of the colonies that grew on the cfu plates were of diminished size and did not show the typical colony characteristics of both the cultures under study. The serum contents are not able to provide the conducive environment or nutrient for resuscitation.

Egg yolk is generally considered to be rich in proteins and other growth factors. However supplementation of egg yolk at around 10 ml level could partially resuscitate as cfu rise above 10 /ml marginally as can be seen from table 9. In comparison to serum egg yolk was able to resuscitate partially but did not sufficiently resuscitate the VBNC cultures. The colony characteristics on the cfu plates were much larger in size in comparison to the colony size with serum addition.

Temperature upshift between 35 to 40°C resuscitated as the cultures with increase in cfu /ml as can be seen from table 10. Both the cultures showed typical colony characteristics upon resuscitation.

Enrichment of the media by adding additional amount of dehydrated medium reduced the starvation effect and increased the cfu/ml thereby resuscitating both the cultures. The colony characteristics of both the cultures were found to be same as the characteristics shown by normal viable and culturable cells.

In Biochemical Tests both *Bacillus cereus* and *E. coli* lost their capacity to reduce nitrate in their VBNC state and both the cultures upon resuscitation regain this property upon resuscitation. In case of *Bacillus cereus* loss of citrate utilization was observed. While comparing the carbohydrate fermentation of both the cultures in their VBNC state and their resuscitated state it can be observed that a number of sugars are not fermented in their VBNC state. It can be seen from table 15 that *Bacillus cereus* loses the capacity to ferment maltose, fructose and does not show even hydrolysis of starch. In case of *E. coli*

arabinose, sorbitol and sucrose are not fermented in the VBNC state as shown in table 16. Both *B.cereus* and *E.coli* loses the catalase property the lack of catalase in the VBNC state clearly states the necessary stress that is responsible for entry into the VBNC state. Apart from this *Bacillus cereus* also loses the arginine dehydrolase and esculin hydrolysis activity in VBNC state. Similarly *E.coli* also shows distinct biochemical variations in a large number of enzyme activity. For *e.g.* in the VBNC state it loses arginine dehydrolase , acetate utilization , ornithine decarboxylase and lysine activities.

In the present study nutrient deprivation induced both *Bacillus cereus* and *E.coli* to enter into VBNC state. As can be seen from table 1 when nutrients are very low the ratio between the viable count and the total count is very high and cfu/ml is far below 10. Similar difference between the viable and total count has been reported by other workers. (Chaveerach *et al.*, 2003). Prolonged incubation resulted in successful establishment of VBNC state. The elevation of temperature of incubation overall 50°C results in induction into VBNC state but low temperature did not favour induction into VBNC state except for

*E.coli*. Osmotic pressure due to addition of salt resulted in induction into VBNC state but additional sugar addition did not act as a factor for induction into VBNC state.

In our study resuscitation could not be attained by the addition of serum or egg yolk. However temperature modulation resulted in resuscitation within 72 hrs .Similarly the increase in the nutrient content resulted in resuscitation. The resuscitation should be improved further by increasing the incubation period. Such work has been reported by many workers. (Pawlowski *et al.*. 2011; Amel *et al.*, 2008; Panutdaporn 2006; Bovill and Mackey 1997)

In the present study distinct changes were observed in the biochemical characteristics of the organisms . While catalase activity was absent in VBNC cells the lack of catalase must have resulted in stress of reacting oxygen species .Distinctly nitrate reduction and argine dehydrolase activity have been markedly accepted in the VBNC state.

It is very essential to examine a large number of food items that are available in the market to ascertain the existence of VBNC state of different microbes . The improvement of human health necessitates a thorough and deep study in this field.

| S. No | Organism        | Number of Colonies (cfu/ml)/Total Count (a*10 <sup>3</sup> ) |       |       |      |      |      |      |        | Induction into VBNC state |
|-------|-----------------|--|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|--------|---------------------------|
|       |                 | 12gms  | 10gms | 8gms  | 6gms | 4gms | 2gms | 1gms | 0.5gms |                           |
| 1     | <i>B.cereus</i> | 30/46  | 30/48 | 10/45 | 7/49 | 7/51 | 6/51 | 5/44 | 5/52   | +                         |
| 2     | <i>E.coli</i>   | 32/38  | 25/39 | 8/37  | 7/36 | 6/37 | 6/37 | 5/38 | 2/39   | +                         |

+ = Positive Reaction; - + Negative Reaction ; ND=Not Detected

**Table 1:** Induction into VBNC state of different organism by Nutrient Deprivation

| S. No | Organism | Morphology         | Gm Reaction |
|-------|----------|--------------------|-------------|
| 1.    | B.cereus | Very short coccoid | Gm +ve      |
| 2.    | E.coli   | Coccoid            | Gm-ve       |

**Table 2:** Morphological variation in VBNC State

| S.No | Organism | Incubation in days | Number of colonies/<br>Total Count(a*10 <sup>3</sup> ) | Morphology         |
|------|----------|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1    | B.cereus | 45                 | 04/56  | Very short coccoid |
| 2    | E.coli   | 40                 | 03/58  | Coccoid            |

**Table 3:** Induction into VBNC state by prolonged incubation

| S. No | Organism  | No of colonies(Cfu/ml)/<br>Total Count (a*10 <sup>3</sup> ) |       |       |      | Induction into VBNC State |
|-------|-----------|---|-------|-------|------|---------------------------|
|       |           | 39°C  | 42°C  | 46°C  | 50°C |                           |
| 1     | B. cereus | 40/48   | 40/49 | 20/46 | 8/49 | +                         |
| 2     | E. coli   | 50/52   | 40/50 | 20/52 | 7/51 | +                         |

+ = Positive Reaction: - + Negative Reaction ;ND=Not Detected

**Table 4:** Induction into VBNC state by High Temperature Incubation

| S. No | Organism  | No of colonies (Cfu/ml)/Total Count (a*10 <sup>3</sup> ) |        |      |      | Induction into VBNC State |
|-------|-----------|--|--------|------|------|---------------------------|
|       |           | 20°C   | 10°C   | 6°C  | 4°C  |                           |
| 1     | B..Cereus | ND   | ND     | ND   | ND   | ND                        |
| 2     | E.coli    | 180/210  | 10/160 | 2/49 | 1/47 | +                         |

+ = Positive Reaction: - + Negative Reaction ;ND=Not Detected

**Table 5:** Induction into VBNC state by Low Temperature Incubation

| S. No | Organism | Number of Colonies (Cfu/ml)/<br>Total Count (a*10 <sup>3</sup> ) |       |       |       |       | Induction into VBNC State |
|-------|----------|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------------|
|       |          | 2%   | 4%    | 6%    | 8%    | 10%   |                           |
| 1     | B.cereus | 40/48  | 37/49 | 37/46 | 15/48 | 07/49 | +                         |
| 2     | E.coli   | 45/49  | 42/49 | 37/48 | 16/46 | 06/48 | +                         |

+ = Positive Reaction: - + Negative Reaction ;ND=Not Detected

**Table 6:** Induction into VBNC state by Osmotic Pressure using Salt

| S. No | Organism | Number of Colonies (Cfu/ml)/Total Count(a*10 <sup>3</sup> ) |       |       |       |       | Induction into VBNC State |
|-------|----------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------------|
|       |          | 2%  | 4%    | 6%    | 8%    | 10%   |                           |
| 1     | B.cereus | 40/44   | 42/46 | 42/45 | 40/46 | 38/46 | ND                        |
| 2     | E.coli   | 32/41   | 35/45 | 37/42 | 32/40 | 32/41 | ND                        |

+ = Positive Reaction: - + Negative Reaction ;ND=Not Detected

**Table 7:** Induction into VBNC state by osmotic pressure using Sugar

| S. No | Organism | Concentration of Serum in ml                            |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | Incubation Period |
|-------|----------|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------------|
|       |          | 0.1   | 0.5  | 1    | 2    | 4    | 6    | 8    | 10   |                   |
|       |          | No. of Colonies(Cfu/ml)/Total Count(a*10 <sup>3</sup> ) |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |                   |
| 1     | B.cereus | 1/46  | 2/44 | 2/48 | 2/46 | 3/44 | 6/48 | 8/47 | 8/46 | 72 hrs            |
| 2     | E.coli   | 1/45  | 3/48 | 3/46 | 3/48 | 4/48 | 6/47 | 6/43 | 6/44 | 72 hrs            |

**Table 8:** Resuscitation by addition of Serum

| S.No | Organism | Concentration of Egg yolk                             |      |      |      |      |       |       |       | Incubation Period |
|------|----------|---|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
|      |          | 0.1   | 0.5  | 1    | 2    | 4    | 6     | 8     | 10    |                   |
|      |          | No of Colonies(Cfu/ml)/Total Count ( $a \cdot 10^3$ ) |      |      |      |      |       |       |       |                   |
| 1    | B.cereus | 0/46  | 0/48 | 2/47 | 2/44 | 5/47 | 7/48  | 12/46 | 12/46 | 72 hrs            |
| 2    | E.coli   | 0/44  | 0/46 | 0/44 | 2/48 | 4/49 | 10/46 | 10/44 | 12/48 | 72hrs             |

Table 9: Resuscitation by addition of Egg Yolk

| S. No | Organism  | Temperature of Incubation                             |         |         |         | Incubation Period |
|-------|-----------|---|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
|       |           | 4+-2°C  | 10+-2°C | 37+-2°C | 40+-2°C |                   |
|       |           | No of Colonies(Cfu/ml)/Total Count ( $a \cdot 10^3$ ) |         |         |         |                   |
| 1     | B..cereus | 6/46  | 10/48   | 40/47   | 42/45   | 72hrs             |
| 2     | E.coli    | 0/44  | 5/50    | 50/54   | 55/58   | 72 hrs            |

Table 10: Resuscitation by Temperature modulation

| S. No | Organism | Concentration of Nutrient media (gms/ltr)              |       |       | Incubation Period |
|-------|----------|--|-------|-------|-------------------|
|       |          | 13   | 35    | 40    |                   |
|       |          | No. of Colonies(Cfu/ml)/ Total Count( $a \cdot 10^3$ ) |       |       |                   |
| 1     | B.cereus | 2/44   | 19/46 | 20/44 | 72 hrs            |
| 2     | E.coli   | 4/46   | 20/44 | 25/45 | 72 hrs            |

Table 11: Resuscitation by increase in Nutrient Content

| S. No | Organism  | Gram Reaction | Motility                  | Spore Staining  | Morphology          |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 1     | B. cereus | Gm +ve        | Sluggishly motile         | Sporulating     | Very short coccoids |
| 2     | E. coli   | Gm -ve        | Motile with zigzag motion | Non sporulating | Cocoid              |

Table 12: Morphological Characterization of Resuscitated Cultures

| S. No | Characteristics   | Organism     |            |                    |
|-------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
|       |                   | Normal State | VBNC state | Resuscitated state |
| 1     | Indole            | -            | -          | -                  |
| 2     | Methyl Red        | -            | -          | -                  |
| 3     | VogesPrauskauer   | +            | +          | +                  |
| 4     | Citrate           | +            | -          | +                  |
| 5     | Nitrate reduction | +            | -          | +                  |
| 6     | H <sub>2</sub> S  | -            | -          | -                  |

+ = Positive Reaction: - + Negative Reaction ;  
ND=Not Detected

Table 13: Comparative Biochemical characteristics of *B.cereus*

| S. No | Characteristics   | Organism     |            |                    |
|-------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
|       |                   | Normal State | VBNC state | Resuscitated state |
| 1     | Indole            | +            | +          | +                  |
| 2     | Methyl Red        | +            | +          | +                  |
| 3     | VogesPrauskauer   | -            | -          | -                  |
| 4     | Citrate           | -            | -          | -                  |
| 5     | Nitrate reduction | +            | -          | +                  |
| 6     | H <sub>2</sub> S  | -            | -          | -                  |

+ = Positive Reaction: - + Negative Reaction;  
ND=Not Detected

Table 14: Comparative Biochemical characteristics of *E.coli*

| S. No | Characteristics | Organism     |            |                    |
|-------|-----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
|       |                 | Normal State | VBNC state | Resuscitated state |
| 1     | Glucose         | +            | +          | +                  |
| 2     | Galactose       | -            | -          | -                  |
| 3     | Maltose         | +            | -          | +                  |
| 4     | Mannitol        | -            | -          | -                  |
| 5     | Lactose         | -            | -          | -                  |
| 6     | Inulin          | -            | -          | -                  |
| 7     | Fructose        | +            | -          | +                  |
| 8     | Arabinose       | -            | -          | -                  |
| 9     | Sorbitol        | -            | -          | -                  |
| 10    | Starch          | +            | -          | +                  |
| 11    | Sucrose         | +            | -          | +                  |
| 12    | Xylose          | -            | -          | -                  |

+ = Positive Reaction; - = Negative Reaction;  
ND=Not Detected

**Table 15:** Comparative Carbohydrate fermentation of *B.cereus*

| S. No | Characteristics | Organism     |            |                    |
|-------|-----------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
|       |                 | Normal State | VBNC state | Resuscitated state |
| 1     | Glucose         | +            | +          | +                  |
| 2     | Galactose       | ND           | ND         | ND                 |
| 3     | Maltose         | -            | -          | -                  |
| 4     | Mannitol        | +            | +          | +                  |
| 5     | Lactose         | +            | +          | +                  |
| 6     | Inulin          | -            | -          | -                  |
| 7     | Fructose        | -            | -          | -                  |
| 8     | Arabinose       | +            | -          | +                  |
| 9     | Sorbitol        | +            | -          | +                  |
| 10    | Starch          | ND           | ND         | ND                 |
| 11    | Sucrose         | +            | -          | +                  |
| 12    | Xylose          | ND           | ND         | ND                 |

+ = Positive Reaction; - = Negative Reaction;  
ND=Not Detected

**Table 16:** Comparative Carbohydrate fermentation of *E.coli*

| S. No | Characteristics         | Organism     |            |                    |
|-------|-------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
|       |                         | Normal state | VBNC state | Resuscitated state |
| 1     | Oxidase                 | -            | -          | -                  |
| 2     | Catalase                | +            | -          | +                  |
| 3     | Urease                  | -            | -          | -                  |
| 4     | Arginine dehydrolase    | +            | -          | +                  |
| 5     | Esculin hydrolysis      | +            | -          | +                  |
| 6     | Acetate utilization     | -            | -          | -                  |
| 7     | Phenylalanine deaminase | -            | -          | -                  |
| 8     | Ornithine decarboxylase | -            | -          | -                  |
| 9     | Lysine                  | -            | -          | -                  |

+ = Positive Reaction; - = Negative Reaction;  
ND=Not Detected

**Table 17:** Comparative enzyme study of *B.cereus*

| S. No | Characteristics         | Organism     |            |                    |
|-------|-------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
|       |                         | Normal state | VBNC state | Resuscitated state |
| 1     | Oxidase                 | -            | -          | -                  |
| 2     | Catalase                | +            | -          | +                  |
| 3     | Urease                  | -            | -          | -                  |
| 4     | Arginine dehydrolase    | +            | -          | +                  |
| 5     | Esculin hydrolysis      | ND           | ND         | ND                 |
| 6     | Acetate utilization     | +            | -          | +                  |
| 7     | Phenylalanine deaminase | -            | -          | -                  |
| 8     | Ornithine decarboxylase | +            | -          | +                  |
| 9     | Lysine                  | +            | -          | +                  |

+ = Positive Reaction: - + Negative Reaction;  
ND=Not Detected

**Table 18:** Comparative enzyme study of *E.coli*

## Conclusion

Both *B.cereus* and *E.coli* could be induced to VBNC state and were resuscitated by suitable stimulating factors.

## References

- Al-Bana, B. H., Haddad, M. T. and Garduño, R. A. (2013): Stationary phase and mature infectious forms of *Legionella pneumophila* produce distinct viable but non-culturable cells. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2, 382–395.
- Amel, B. K.-N., Amine, B., Amina B. (2008): Survival of *Vibrio fluvialis* in seawater under starvation conditions. *Microbiol. Res.* 163, 323–328
- Baffone, W., Citterio, B., Vittoria, E., Casaroli A., Campana, R., Falzano L., *et al.* (2003): Retention of virulence in viable but non-culturable halophilic *Vibrio spp.* *Int. J. Food. Microbiol.* 89, 31–39.
- Bovill, R. A., Mackey, B. M. (1997): Resuscitation of ‘non-culturable’ cells from aged cultures of *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Microbiology* 143, 1575–1581.
- Chaveerach, P., ter Huurne, A. A. H. M., Lipman L. J. A., van Knapen F. (2003): Survival and resuscitation of ten strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* under acid conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 711–714.
- Downing, K. J., Mischenko V. V., Shleeva M. O., Young D. I., Young M., Kaprelyants A. S., *et al.* (2005): Mutants of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* lacking three of the five rpf-Like genes are defective for growth *in vivo* and for resuscitation *in vitro*. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 3038–3043.
- Inglis, T. J. J., Sagripanti, J.-L. (2006): Environmental factors that affect the survival and persistence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 6865–6875.
- Laam, Li, Nilmini Mendis, Hana Trigui, James D. Oliver, and Sebastien P. Faucher (2014): “The importance of the viable but non-culturable state in human

- bacterial pathogens.” *Front Microbiol*, 2014.
- Lemke, M. J., Leff L. G. (2006): Culturability of stream bacteria assessed at the assemblage and population levels. *Microb. Ecol.* 51, 365–374
- Mukamolova, G. V., Yanopolskaya N. D., Kell D. B., Kaprelyants A. S. (1998b): On resuscitation from the dormant state of *Micrococcus luteus*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 73, 237–243.
- Panutdaporn, N., Kawamoto K., Asakura H., Makino S. I. (2006): Resuscitation of the viable but non-culturable state of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Oranienburg by recombinant resuscitation-promoting factor derived from *Salmonella Typhimurium* strain LT2. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 106, 241–247.
- Pawlowski, D. R., Metzger D. J., Raslawsky A., Howlett A., Siebert G., Karalus R. J., et al. (2011): Entry of *Yersinia pestis* into the viable but nonculturable state in a low-temperature tap water microcosm. *PLoS ONE* 6:e17585.
- Rahman, M. H., Suzuki S., Kawai K. (2001): Formation of viable but non-culturable state (VBNC) of *Aeromonas hydrophila* and its virulence in goldfish, *Carassius auratus*. *Microbiol. Res.* 156, 103–106.
- Reissbrodt, R., Rienaecker I., Romanova J. M., Freestone P. P. E., Haigh R. D., Lyte M., et al. (2002): Resuscitation of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* from the viable but nonculturable state by heat-stable enterobacterial autoinducer. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 4788–4794.
- Steinert, M., Emödy L., Amann R. Hacker J. (1997): Resuscitation of viable but nonculturable *Legionella pneumophila* Philadelphia JR32 by *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63:2047–2053.
- Sun, F., Chen J., Zhong, L., Zhang X.-H., Wang R., Guo Q., et al. (2008): Characterization and virulence retention of viable but nonculturable *Vibrio harveyi*. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 64, 37–44.
- Vora, G. J.; Meador, C. E.; Bird, M. M., Bopp C. A.; Andreadis, J. D.; Stenger D. A. (2005): Microarray-based detection of genetic heterogeneity, antimicrobial resistance, and the viable but nonculturable state in human pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 19109–19114.